

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,792	06/28/2001	Mikko Kanerva	930.333USW1	7109
32294 7	590 06/06/2005		EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			PEREZ GUTIERREZ, RAFAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2686	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/893,792	Kanerva				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rafael Perez-Gutierrez	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) de If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>December 6, 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-45 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-45 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		• , ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in App the priority documents have been re I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication Noeceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-1449 or PTO-14		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/893,792 Page 2

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to Applicant's response to non-compliant amendment filed on December 6, 2004. Claims 24-45 are now pending in the present application. This Action is made FINAL.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) On page 6 line 8, insert -- be-- before "fixed";
 - b) On page 10 line 25, insert --, -- after "details";
 - c) On page 11 line 14, insert --, -- after "i.e."; and
 - d) On page 17 line 15, insert --in-- after "is".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 39 and 41 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) On line 2 of claim 39, delete "is" after "criteria"; and
 - b) On lines 3 of claim 41, insert --second-- before "station".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,792 Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 4. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office Action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 24-34, 36-41, and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tognazzini (EP 0 810 803 A2).

Regarding claim 24, Tognazzini discloses a telecommunications system comprising a first station and a plurality of second stations (Fig. 10, items 1000-1040; Fig. 12, items 1210-1240), the first station ("caller") being arranged to request a connection ("query") with at least one of said plurality of second stations (col. 3, lines 6-9), said connection request comprising a location criteria to be satisfied by at least one second station (col. 3, lines 36-42), wherein there are provided at least one store (memory, database) for storing location information for at least some of said second stations (col. 3, lines 36-42 and lines 50-52) and selection means (Input) for selecting at least one of the second stations for connection in dependence on the location information stored in the store (Input means such as touching an icon who represents a "second station", subsequently selecting said station in accordance with a location information stored (GPS location data); col. 13, lines 13-42).

Regarding claim 25, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein at least one store (memory medium) is provided for storing location information for at least some of said second stations and/or said first station (col. 4, lines 18-28).

Regarding claim 26, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned

system, wherein at least one processor (CPU; Fig. 1, item 100) is provided for providing location information for at least some of said second stations and/or said first station (col. 6, lines 35-38:

col. 7, lines 14-17).

Regarding **claim 27**, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein the first station attempts to initiate a connection with any second station satisfying the location criteria (Wherein a caller or a single station originates a query and those stations satisfying the query criteria respond, thereby connecting or establishing communications with said stations, furthermore said criteria concerning location information; *col. 11, lines 16-56; col. 3, lines 50-52*).

Regarding **claim 28**, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein the first station is arranged to initiate a connection with a second station satisfying the location criteria (*col. 11. lines 16-56; col. 3, lines 50-52*) and falling in a predetermined group of second stations (Wherein a driver, caller, or single station queries other stations falling in a predetermined group, said group relative to the transmission range within the vicinity of the caller posing the query; *col. 13, lines 12-22; Fig. 15*).

Regarding **claim 29**, and as applied to claim 28, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein a predefined location criteria is associated with the predetermined group (A predefined location criteria such as the closeness or vicinity relative to the transmission range between the caller and the other stations; *col. 13*, *lines 12-22*; *Fig. 15*).

Regarding claim 30, and as applied to claim 28, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein the predetermined group has a predetermined identifier associated therewith (An

identifier such as the location or vicinity criteria predefined by a caller or a response packet associated with a globally unique identifier, furthermore such identifier being a service identifier such as requested Medical Services; col. 13, lines 12-22; col. 13, line 50 thru col. 4, line 4; Fig. 15; col. 16, lines 30-35).

Regarding claim 31, and as applied to claim 28, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein the predetermined group is defined by the user of the first station (The station comprising configuration means such as I/O for defining a group satisfying a location criteria; col. 7, lines 29-57).

Regarding claim 32, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein means are provided for determining which stations satisfy the location criteria (Location means such as GPS, col. 13, lines 4-7).

Regarding claim 33, and as applied to claim 32, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein information as to which second stations satisfy the location criteria is sent to the first station (Information conveyed into the caller map display showing those stations satisfying the criteria; col. 13, lines 13-22).

Regarding claim 34, and as applied to claim 33, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein the first station comprises means for selecting said at least one of said second stations based on said information (Selecting one particular station of those satisfying the criteria by touching an icon representing that particular station from the map display information; col. 13, lines 34-42).

Regarding claim 36, and as applied to claim 32, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned

system, wherein the determining means is arranged to attempt connections to second stations satisfying the location criteria randomly (Selecting a station which satisfies the location criteria without any specific order; col. 13, lines 12-38).

Regarding claim 37, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein at least one of said second stations is arranged to be able to prevent a connection with the first station if the first station has made a connection request based on the location of the at least one second station (Wherein those stations satisfying the location criteria could optionally prevent connection with the requesting station; col. 13, line 50 thru col. 14, line 8).

Regarding claim 38, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein at least one of said stations is arranged to permit a connection only with predefined first stations if the first station has made a connection request based on the location of said at least one station (Wherein a connection is made upon a query satisfying a location criteria; col. 13, lines 4-49).

Regarding claim 39, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein a second station satisfying the location criteria receives a message indicating that a first station wishes to make contact therewith (A message such as "Are you there" or displaying the location of the caller when the criteria is satisfied; col. 10, lines 23-24; col. 13, lines 51-57).

Regarding claim 40, and as applied to claim 39, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein the second station receiving said message is arranged to indicate if the call is to be accepted (The called station comprising means for optionally answering the call; col. 13. line

Page 7

Art Unit: 2686

57 thru col. 14, line 8).

Regarding claim 41, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein said connection request also comprises information identifying at least one second station (Information identifying the station such as location, status, history, license plate; col. 3, lines 50-52; col. 10, lines 47-51) and a call is made between said first and the identified at least one second station only if the location criteria is satisfied (Communication channels provided for the requesting or caller station and the at least one station satisfying the criteria; col. 3, line 53 thru col. 4, line 8).

Regarding claim 43, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein the first station and/or at least one of said second stations is a mobile terminal (Cellular station; col. 9, lines 50-51).

Regarding claim 44, and as applied to claim 24, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein said first station and/or at least one of said second stations is a fixed terminal (Fixed station; col. 9, lines 50-51).

Regarding claim 45, Tognazzini discloses a method for use in a telecommunications system comprising a first station ("caller") and a plurality of second stations (Fig. 10, items 1000-1040; Fig. 12, items 1210-1240), the method comprising the steps of: defining at the first station a location criteria to be satisfied by at least one second station (col. 3, lines 36-42); requesting a connection ("query") with at least one second station satisfying said criteria (col. 3, lines 6-9); determining which of said second stations satisfy said criteria (Searching through a database based on a query for satisfying a criteria; col. 3, lines 36-42); selecting at least one of

Application/Control Number: 09/893,792 Page 8

Art Unit: 2686

the second stations satisfying said criteria in dependence on stored location information (i.e., by touching an icon who represents a "second station", subsequently selecting said station in accordance with a location information stored (GPS location data); col. 13, lines 13-42); and establishing a connection between said first station and said at least one second station satisfying said location criteria (Wherein a caller or a single station originates a query and those stations satisfying the query criteria respond, and after the caller selects said at least one second station satisfying said location criteria, a communication link is opened between the caller and said at least second station; col. 3, lines 50-52, col. 11, lines 16-56, and col. 13 lines 13-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness 4. or nonobviousness.

6.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tognazzini (EP

0 810 803 A2) in view of Nojima (U.S. Patent # 5,933,080).

Regarding **claim 35**, and as applied to claim 32, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system. Tognazzini fail to clearly specify wherein the determining means is arranged to define an order in which connections to second stations satisfying the location criteria are to be attempted.

In the same field of endeavor, Nojima discloses an emergency calling system wherein an order in which connections to an emergency contact database are arranged according to emergency priority and location information (col. 3, lines 37-42; col. 4, lines 15-31).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Tognazzini system for establishing communications in accordance with a location criteria to include prioritized calling or connection means as taught by Nojima for the purpose of automatically selecting a contact according to the circumstances of an emergency situation.

7. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tognazzini (EP 0 810 803 A2) in view of Tayloe (U.S. Patent # 5,809,418).

Regarding **claim 42**, and as applied to claim 41, Tognazzini discloses the aforementioned system, wherein if the second station does not respond at the time the connection request is made, the system is arranged to make the call at a subsequent time and establish connection with the called station if the requesting user is an authorized high level user (col. 14, lines 28 thru col. 15, line 2). Tognazzini fail to clearly specify making the call at a subsequent time when the

second station satisfies the location criteria.

In the same field of endeavor, Tayloe discloses a method and an apparatus for making a call connection between a source communication unit and a target communication unit, wherein if a call attempt is not successful the system predicts an appropriate time for initiating a call, thereby attempting to make a call at said time, the prediction based on a position criteria (col. 2, lines 41-51).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Tognazzini system for establishing communications in accordance with a location criteria to include call attempting prediction means as taught by Tayloe for the purpose of providing a high probability when establishing a call in a satellite communication system.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on September 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they 8. are not persuasive.

Consider claims 24 and 45, Applicant basically argues, on pages 8-10 of the remarks, that Tognazzini fails to disclose or suggest selection means for selecting at least one of the second stations for connection in dependence on the location information stored in the store.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument because Tognazzini clearly discloses said selection means in column 13 lines 12-42 where it is disclosed that a first

station is provided with icons on a display screen that represent a plurality of second stations that meet the location criteria and wherein, when an icon is touched (i.e., selected) on the display screen, a communication link is open (i.e., a connection is established) between the first station and the selected second station. This teaching by Tognazzini clearly meets the claimed selection means.

Therefore, in view of the above reasons and having addressed Applicant's argument, the previous rejection is maintained and made FINAL by the Examiner.

Conclusion '

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office Action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (703) 872-9306 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rafael Perez-Gutierrez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7915. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Rafael Perez-Gutierrez

R.P.G./rpg RAFAEL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ PATENT EXAMINER

May 28, 2005